

kpansion of Local I	Business Centres in	Bellamb	i and Windang		
Proposal Title :	Expansion of Local B	usiness C	entres in Bellambi and W	/indang	
Proposal Summary :	It is proposed to rezo	ne sites at	t Bellambi and Windang f	from Residential to	Business Zones.
PP Number :	PP_2012_WOLLG_011	I_00	Dop File No :	12/20064	
oposal Details					
Date Planning Proposal Received :	06-Dec-2012		LGA covered :	Wollongong	City
Region :	Southern		RPA :	Wollongong	City Council
State Electorate :	WOLLONGONG		Section of the Act :	55 - Planning	Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning				
ocation Details		,			
Street : Ro	othery Road				
Suburb : Be	llambi	City :	Wollongong	Postcode	2518
Land Parcel : Lo	t 11 DP 1084344; Lot 2 DI	P 38303; L	ot 3 DP 38303; Lot A DP	158987	
Street : Pic	oneer Road				
Suburb: Be	llambi	City :	Wollongong	Postcode :	2518
Land Parcel : Lo	t 11 DP 1084344				
Street : Ac	acia Street				
Suburb : Wi	indang	City :	Wollongong	Postcode :	2528
Land Parcel : Lo	t 7, Section A, DP 19008				

Expansion of Local Business Centres in Bellambi and Windang

DoP Planning Officer Contact Details

Graham Towers
0242249467
graham.towers@planning.nsw.gov.au

RPA Contact Details

Contact Name :	Rebecca Jardim
Contact Number :	0242277316
Contact Email :	rjardim@wollongong.nsw.gov.au

DoP Project Manager Contact Details

Contact Name :

Contact Number :

Contact Email :

Land Release Data

Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Illawarra Regional Strategy	Consistent with Strategy	Yes
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	N/A
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	: Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	The proposal involves the expan the rezoning of residential lots.	sion of the Bellambi and Win	dang local centres through
	Council has proposed the Bellan the sites prior to Wollongong LE zone was changed to residential mixture of commercial and resid	P 2009 coming into effect. It i through WLEP 2009. The site	s unclear why the business
	Council has proposed the Winda development on the site.	ng rezoning in order to facili	tate a pharmacy

Expansion of Local Business Centres in Bellambi and Windang It is proposed to rezone 53 Pioneer Road and 203 - 207 Rothery Street, Bellambi (approx. External Supporting 3,200 square metres) from R2 Low Density Residential to B1 Neighbourhood Centre Zone Notes : and to increase the allowable Floor Space Ratio from 0.5:1 to 0.75:1. This would result in the former business zoning of the sites prior to Wollongong LEP 2009 being re-instated. It is proposed to rezone 19 Acacia Street, Windang (607 square metres) from R2 Low Density Residential Zone to B2 Local Centre Zone and to increase the allowable Floor Space Ratio from 0.5:1 to 0.75:1. Adequacy Assessment Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a) Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes The statement adequately describes the intent of the proposal to increase business zones Comment : in local centres at Bellambi and Windang and to increase the floor space ratio for these sites. Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b) Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes The explanation of provisions describes the mapping changes needed to the LEP. The Comment: Land Zoning Zoning and FSR Maps for the sites will be amended to introduce B2 Local Centre Zone and increase the floor space ratio from 0.5:1 to 0.75:1. Justification - s55 (2)(c) a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones b) S.117 directions identified by RPA : 2.2 Coastal Protection * May need the Director General's agreement 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.3 Flood Prone Land Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified? SEPP No 71—Coastal Protection SEPP 71 applies as the sites are located within the Coastal Zone. The proposals are not e) List any other inconsistent with SEPP 71 and the area is already developed for urban purposes. SEPPs matters that need to (BASIX); (Housing for Seniors etc); (Infrastructure); and (Major Projects) only have

considered in the assessment of a DA.

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

be considered :

S117 DIRECTIONS If No, explain : The proposal is considered to be consistent (or any inconsistencies are only of minor significance) with the following relevant S.117 Directions: s117 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones - It is consistent with this s117 as it will increase the amount of land available for commercial uses, facilitate development and encourage employment growth at a local scale. s117 2.2 Coastal Protection - the Director General can be satisfied that the proposal is consistent with the Direction as the land is physically and visually isolated from the coast and has already been developed. s117 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport - the Director General can be satisfied that

relevance to the development application process and raise issues that need to be

Expansion of Local Business Centres in Bellambi and Windang

the proposal is consistent with the policy as the land is serviced by public transport.

The proposal is inconsistent with the following s.117 Directions, however, Council has argued that the Director General can be satisfied that the inconsistencies are of minor significance or have been justified:

s117 3.1 Residential Zones - this Direction applies as it is proposed to rezone residentially zoned land. However, as the proposal only affects approx. 3,800 square metres of land, Council has argued that the Director General can be satisfied that the inconsistency is of minor significance.

s117 3.4 Flood Prone Land - this Direction applies as the Windang site is flood prone land. The site is currently zoned for residential development. The proposal will result in a slight increase in the density of development of the land by increasing the allowable floor space ratio from 0.5:1 to 0.75:1. However, as the site has an area of 607 square metres only and as residential development is already permitted on the land it is considered that the Director General can be satisfied that the inconsistency is of minor significance only.

The proposal is generally consistent with all other s.117 Directions or any inconsistencies are of minor significance only.

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

The mapping provided clearly identifies the proposed changes to the Land Zoning and FSR Maps. Council should be required to provide maps consistent witht he Mapping Guidelines for exhibition purposes.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment : Council proposes to exhibit the proposal for a period of 28 days which is considered reasonable.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in relation The Principal LEP (Wollongong LEP 2009) is in place. to Principal LEP :

Assessment Criteria

Need for planningA planning proposal is needed to permit business uses that Council has identified as beingproposal :suitable for the subject sites or is already existing.

Expansion of Local Business Centres in Bellambi and Windang

Consistency withThe proposal is not inconsistent with the strategic planning framework. It is consistent with
strategic planning
framework :The proposal is not inconsistent with the strategic planning framework. It is consistent with
the Illawarra Regional Strategy as it will provide additional opportunities for employment.framework :

Environmental social The proposal will create employment opportunities through additional business zoned land. The Windang proposal will also create the opportunity for a medical centre that would be a valuable asset to the local community.

Assessment Process

F	Proposal type :	Routine		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days	
	Timeframe to make LEP :	9 Month		Delegation :	DG	
	Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)					
1	s Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	Νο			
((2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes			
1	If no, provide reasons :	The proposal address commercial opportuni		naly in zoning at Bellambi ar ndang.	nd would create add	liional
		No public authority co	onsultation	is considered necessary.		
			Gateway D	ates that the project would b betermination. It is considere the project.		
	Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No				
	If Yes, reasons :					
	ldentify any additional st	udies, if required.				
	If Other, provide reasons	3 :				
	No studies are required	I.				
	Identify any internal cons	sultations, if required :				
	No internal consultation	n required				
	Is the provision and fund	ling of state infrastructure	e relevant t	o this plan? No		
	If Yes, reasons :					
Doc	uments					
	Document File Name			DocumentType Na	me	Is Public
	Council letter.pdf			Proposal Covering	Letter	Yes
	Planning Proposal.pdf			Proposal		Yes
	Maps.pdf			Мар	2	Yes
l '	Council report.pdf			Proposal		Yes

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	1.1 B
	2.2 C
	3.1 R
	3.4 In

1.1 Business and Industrial Zones
2.2 Coastal Protection
3.1 Residential Zones
3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils
4.3 Flood Prone Land

Additional Information : That the delegate of the Minister for Planning and Infrastructure, determine under section 56(2) of the EP&A Act, that an amendment to the Wollongong Local Environmental Plan 2009 to amend the Zoning and Floor Space Ratio Maps for the relevant sites should proceed subject to the following conditions:

1. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&A Act") as follows:

(a) the Planning Proposal must be made publicly available for a minimum of 28 days; and (b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of Planning Proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with Planning Proposals as identified in section 4.5 of A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning 2009).

2. No public hearing is required to be held into the matter under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not have any bearing on the need to conduct a public hearing under the provisions of any other legislation.

3. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 9 months from the week following the date of the Gateway Determination.

4. For exhibition purposes Council should provide Maps consistent with the Department's Mapping Guidelines.

S117 DIRECTIONS

5. The proposal is considered to be consistent with, or any inconsistencies are only of minor significance, the following relevant S.117 Directions:

s117 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones; s117 2.2 Coastal Protection; and s117 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport.

6. The proposal is inconsistent with the following s.117 Directions, however, the Director General can be satisfied that the inconsistencies are of minor significance only:

s117 3.1 Residential Zones - this Direction applies as it is proposed to rezone residentially zoned land. However, as the proposal only affects approx. 3,800 square metres of land, the Director General can be satisfied that the inconsistency is of minor significance.

s117 3.4 Flood Prone Land - this Direction applies as the Windang site is flood prone land. The site is currently zoned for residential development. The proposal will result in a slight increase in the density of development of the land by increasing the allowable floor space ratio from 0.5:1 to 0.75:1. However, as the site has an area of 607 square metres only and as residential development is already permitted on the land it is considered that the Director General can be satisfied that the inconsistency is of minor significance.

7. The Director General can be satisfied that the proposal is consistent with all other relevant s117 Directions applying to the land or any inconsistencies are only of minor significance.

	8. No further consultation is required in relation to any s117 Directions while the Plannir Proposal remains in its current form.			
Supporting Reasons	The proposed Business Zones are adjacent to existing Business Zones or the land was formerly zoned for commercial purposes. They will provide potential for additional employment and enhanced services in the localities.			
Signature:	MMPart			